



1 October 1996

Joan Shenton  
Meditel Productions  
42 Brunswick Gardens  
LONDON  
W8 4AN

*Dear Joan,*

Thanks for your call this morning to follow up on the development report that I received towards the end of last week. I have been digesting it before and after your call.

Of course, we will meet shortly to discuss your work and its findings but I have to say that, on a first impression, I found the development report rather disappointing. If I were putting the worst, rather than the best, reading on the result I would have to declare that all we have discovered is that the tests have a slightly greater margin of error than it is already admitted that they do - and that on a pretty small sample. And that this is mostly down to one particular test kit. And I don't really feel that this difficulty is ameliorated by telling the story in the manner of "We were really depressed by these results until we called up X etc. etc.!" I think this is more in the nature of journalistic camouflage than investigative discovery.

Put in a nutshell, is not the problem as follows:

- While we may be able to demonstrate that the different testing procedures are not fully consistent between themselves, is it not the case that in only one case was a positive later deemed to be a negative? All the indeterminates in the world won't help us here: if they ain't positive, the story doesn't stand up in the form it was proposed to us.
- And what has happened to all the 'Lifestyle' component of this story? What you had us believe was that a gay would test differently if he walked in with a girlfriend than if he sported 'gay uniform'. What's happened to all that and to the possible comparison between urban and not-so-urban test centres?

All in all, you can see that I wasn't over-impressed by what I read. However it might be best if - as a second attempt - rather than suggest to us the possible script and running order of a film, you went back to a much closer analysis of the tests. Is this the best we can do? Did we do something wrong? If so, what?

Cont/...

CHANNEL FOUR TELEVISION CORPORATION

SIR MICHAEL BISHOP CBE (CHAIRMAN), DAVID PLOWRIGHT (DEPUTY CHAIRMAN),

MICHAEL GRADE (CHIEF EXECUTIVE), STEWART BUTTERFIELD (DIRECTOR OF ADVERTISING SALES AND MARKETING), COLIN LEVENTHAL (DIRECTOR OF ACQUISITION),

FRANK McGETTIGAN (DIRECTOR AND GENERAL MANAGER), DAVID SCOTT (DIRECTOR OF FINANCE), JOHN WILLIS (DIRECTOR OF PROGRAMMES),


MURRAY CRIGOR, HERBERT HARDY, MARY McALEESE, SIR DAVID NICHOLAS CBE, USHA PRASHAR CBE, SARAH RADCLYFFE

SECRETARY: ANDREW YEATES



I look forward to your customarily feisty response.

Yours sincerely,



DAVID LLOYD  
Senior Commissioning Editor  
News & Current Affairs