

**What “HIV/AIDS” really is about in the Russian Federation:
Is it a struggle against “AIDS” or a struggle against pregnant women?**

(Борьба со СПИДом или борьба против беременных женщин?)

By Irina Mikhailovna Sazonova

(Translated from Russian by Pol Dubart)

MD and expert of the Central Council of the All Russia social movement “Parents’ Assembly” (a body whose purpose is to protect the rights of parents and children), Irina Mikhailovna Sazonova is also a member of the Union of Journalists of Moscow.

World AIDS Day took place on December 1st, as every year. Nearly 30 years have passed since the day when, following a press conference by a virologist called Robert Gallo, and the Secretary of State for Health and Human services, Margaret Heckler, the US Centers For Disease Control have alerted the world by appointing a so-called human Immunodeficiency Virus ("HIV") as the cause of "AIDS", plunging the American nation and the whole world in a war against “AIDS”. What results and successes were obtained during the fierce battle that was led against this deadly disease? ... Was it possible to critically evaluate and really analyze this situation? ...

Today, it is clear that none of the predictions that were made more than a quarter century ago got fulfilled. And yet, although none of these forecasts, which predicted a global pandemic and extinction of mankind for 2000 (and then for 2010) were fulfilled, we nevertheless continue to be subjected to a flow of information concerning the current evolution of the epidemic. While Africa, according to “AIDS” experts, should already have completely disappeared, its population is not only still alive, but has more than doubled. For example, the population of South Africa, which amounted to 22,760,770 inhabitants in 1986, reached a figure of 50,600,000 in 2011.

In our country, the Russian Federation, there has been no outbreak of “HIV/AIDS”. However, with the hysteria that swept the world, we have seen over the years, organizations supposedly created to fight “AIDS”, and of which we know nothing about the funding, begin to sprout like mushrooms. Huge sums of money were invested in them. During all these years, the mass media that were subservient to the “AIDS” establishment, whose main representative in Russia is Academician V. V. Pokrovsky, never stopped spreading hysteria and lies about the alleged human immunodeficiency virus. They never stopped repeating the same old songs about a

deadly virus supposed to cause an incurable disease called “AIDS”, in order to generate a terrible fear among the population. This terror campaign has worked so well that everyone is terrified just by hearing the acronym “HIV/ AIDS”, which has all the characteristics of a virtual death sentence.

This persistence in rehashing pseudo-scientific and unreliable information about the so-called “HIV/AIDS” has been analyzed in a both very critical and relevant way by the scientific journalist and commentator John Lauritsen, who, after having studied for several years the “HIV/AIDS” phenomenon, published books such as **“Poison by Prescription: The AZT Story”** (1990) and **“The AIDS War: Propaganda, Profiteering, and Genocide from the Medical industrial complex”** (1993). It is the same type of analyze that John Lauritsen also developed in an article entitled **“Protease Inhibitors in Provincetown”**, which came out in 1998 in the *Continuum* journal (Vol. 4, N°5, pp. 8—10):

“At this point in time, debating the merits of the HIV-AIDS hypothesis is like flogging a dead horse. This silly hypothesis was demolished by, among others, Peter Duesberg a decade ago, and anyone who still believes in it is uninformed, lazy and/or stupid. (...) In short, the viral load tests are a scam. When molecular biologists Peter Duesberg and Harvey Bialy analyzed the 1995 papers by Ho and Wei (Nature 373) that launched the whole viral load bandwagon, they found that estimates of free viruses had been overestimated by several orders of magnitude. In the Wei study, 100,000 units so-called “plasma viral RNA” really amounted to less than 2 infectious viruses per milliliter of plasma. And in the Ho study, 10,000 “plasma virions” corresponded to less than one infectious virus. Duesberg and Bialy concluded that “there is no evidence of the presence of infectious viruses in Wei et al.’s and Ho et al.’s patients.” Such is the reliability of such so-called scientific research! ...

When the Australian mathematician Mark Craddock analyzed these very reports by Wei and Ho, he found in them such glaring blunders from the mathematical as well as logical points of view that, being exasperated, he raised the question:

“What would it exactly take to get those people conducting research on “HIV”, to turn away from high-tech, unproven methods and mysterious speculations about the molecular interactions, etc., and start asking themselves: “Has one of us the slightest idea of what we are really doing?...”

As it was rightly pointed out by one of the scientists who reject the official doctrine, Dr. Heinrich Kremer, who was, between 1968 and 1975, director of medical and social services for drug addicts, sex offenders and mentally unbalanced in Berlin Tegel (a pilot project of the German federal government to reform the criminal justice system), then medical director of a clinic for adolescents and young addicted adults (Brauel, Lower Saxony): “The hunt for viruses has degenerated into a pure torture with its fatal consequences.”

Among the best works demonstrating the absurdity of the viral theory of “AIDS”, the unreliability of testing and the deadly toxicity of the drugs used against “HIV”, stands undoubtedly the study conducted by the Australian scientist Eleni Papadopulos and her colleagues Valendar F. Turner and John Papadimitriou, as well as the remarkable work of the South African lawyer and searcher Anthony Brink. Such studies are remarkable for their critical analysis of the use of antiretroviral drugs, including AZT, and show, in the most convincing way, the deadly toxicity of these drugs. When one gets in the least bit familiar with such works, one comes to wonder how it is possible that “AIDS” researchers and public health officials may not be aware of such facts. Or probably do they not want to know anything about them?... It is amazing that these so-called “AIDS” experts take up the cause of a deadly virus and an incurable disease without first studying all the available medical literature on this subject!... Is it only intellectual laziness or maybe something else? ...

We come thus to believe that the current era is marked by another outbreak of “AIDS” that should be interpreted as a syndrome of legal and intellectual deficits, due the fact that investigating and analyzing the huge amount of scientific literature refuting the viral hypothesis of “AIDS” has been systematically avoided. They are therefore unable to understand the enormous contradictions that constantly appear in this theory. There is therefore no critical analysis, by the medical authority, of the highly toxic antiretroviral drugs whose side effects inevitably lead to death...

This legal deficiency is obvious and the Articles 7, 8 and 9 of the Federal Law No. 38 -FZ of 30th March 1995 concerning “Prevention of the spread of the disease caused by the Human Immunodeficiency Virus (“HIV”) in the Russian Federation” are constantly violated everywhere. According to the articles 7 and 8, “HIV” screening can only be accomplished with the patient's consent, except in cases provided by the Article 9 of the same Federal Law, when such examination turns out to be necessary. This article states that this particular medical examination applies

only to blood, body fluid, organ or body tissue donors, as well as people working in certain occupations, businesses, institutions and organizations whose list is approved by the Government of the Russian Federation. According to this list, people who are subject to mandatory testing are the employees of some companies, institutions and organizations of state or municipal systems related to healthcare, that require diagnosis and treatment of their employees who are infected with “HIV”, as well as those whose work is related to materials likely to contain the human immunodeficiency virus .

Thus, only two groups of individuals are subjected to mandatory HIV testing. Annex 3 to the Ordinance № 295 of 10.30.95 of the Russian Federation clearly states that compulsory “HIV” testing is prohibited! This article also says that people who refuse mandatory medical examination cannot be blood, body fluid, organ and tissue donors. That's all! ... There are no other conditions...

In a more recent decision from the chief public health official of the Russian Federation (№ 1 of the 1.11.2011) about the approval of the overall project 3.1.5.2826-10 concerning the “prophylaxis of HIV infection”, paragraph 5.2 also confirmed that “HIV testing should be voluntary, except in cases where this procedure is required to be mandatory”.

But what actually happens? Here and there, people are constantly submitted to “HIV” screening tests without being asked for their consent. They are not even informed that they are being tested for “HIV”. Pregnant women are generally tested three times during their pregnancy. From the moment you get into a hospital, in order to submit to a medical examination, receive a treatment or undergo surgery, you will be submitted to a “HIV” screening test. Even though, in accordance with the law, none of the situations mentioned above justifies a mandatory test. But this does not prevent the hospital staff from conducting such tests. However, no one is held responsible for this blatant violation of the law. Indeed, any attempt to avoid the test will be more expensive from all points of view, for someone who would refuse it, who would then be faced with such hassles that it is easier to submit to the screening without quibbling. However, when responding to questions on their special SOS AIDS phone number or on their www.aids.ru website, those “AIDS” reference centers specialists recognize that such tests violate the law. We must therefore conclude that these tests are indicative of a quite arbitrary attitude from the medical institutions

Next point: the book **“The HIV Infection: Clinical Features, Diagnosis and Treatment”** published in 2000 by a group of authors led by Academician VV Pokrovsky. In the chapter

devoted to the diagnosis of infection, Pokrovsky advises clinicians and epidemiologists to show some skepticism towards all new “HIV” diagnostic techniques. This directly relates to Polymerized Chain Reaction (PCR) and other “genetic engineering” methods! This is actually an interesting paradox: after two decades of diagnosis of “HIV” infection, the test system which has been the “AIDS” specialists’ major trump card and main argument to confirm the existence of the Human Immunodeficiency Virus, the most eminent “AIDS” specialist in the country urges us to now not to pay too much attention to these new technologies, but to stick to the proven testing methods. Apparently, VV Pokrovsky did not seem to realize that, with such a statement, he was undermining the position of the official representatives of “anti-AIDS” drugs manufacturers.

A natural question arises: Who is then controlling the testing process in the country? Who is actually controlling all these tests? We know that a positive result to a “HIV” test can result from more than 60 different conditions, and that so-called “HIV” positivity can be diagnosed in healthy individuals, as a result of cross-reactions, pregnancy, multiple vaccinations, colds, flu, acute respiratory syndrome, vaccination against hepatitis B or tetanus, blood transfusions or connective tissues’ diseases.

As you have probably noticed, one of the conditions mentioned on this list is pregnancy, which may itself produce a positive reaction to the “HIV” test. Today, as we saw above, this test performed, without exception and in violation of the law, on all pregnant women has led to an increase in the number of women allegedly “HIV” positive, as well as more serious and tragic consequences, as much for the women involved, their families and their children, as well as for the entire society. On the basis of such a false diagnosis, it is constantly strongly suggested to some healthy women to terminate their pregnancies.

Extract from Circular N° 01/7570-13-32 of July 4, 2013 on “Measures against the spread of HIV infection epidemic in the Russian Federation”.

“One of the characteristics of the current period is the intensive participation of women in the epidemic process. Currently, the percentage of HIV-infected men (64%) is greater, but recent years have shown a significant increase of the proportion of women in this epidemic. At the end of 2012, the cumulative number of HIV-positive women had increased by 2.2 times compared to 2005 and women of childbearing age are infected mainly through sexual contact, without belonging to any risk group”.

If, as we are told, these women are infected "primarily through sexual contact," we

find no “AIDS” specialist to point out the fact that, in the statistical analysis, almost all of these women have husbands who are “HIV” negative. But this will never be taken into account and will remain deliberately ignored by “AIDS” specialists; otherwise it would then become immediately clear that there is no sexual contamination by a nonexistent human immunodeficiency virus! ...

And the fact that “at the end of 2012, the cumulative number of HIV-positive women has increased by 2.2 times compared to 2005” is nothing but a consequence of that it is at this very moment that statistics began considering “HIV” testing newly performed on pregnant women. There was no “HIV” infection in any of these women, but only a state of pregnancy that was causing false positive tests! Nothing more! ...

Given the serious problems these people subject to this misdiagnosis were facing, how many of them have not seen their life and destiny destroyed when they heard the news and ended up by committing suicide? How many families have been destroyed and children orphaned by the death of their parents as a result of a “positive” diagnosis provided by a test whose reliability and accuracy have absolutely never been demonstrated? ...

And yet there is a rush to prescribe these people (even children), which show a positive reaction to this so-called “HIV” test, highly toxic drugs such as AZT (zidovudine or Retrovir), which are supposed to destroy the virus. But this imaginary virus they are trying to destroy not necessarily being present in the body of the patient, these drugs are unable to make any distinction whatsoever between the various potential targets, and attack the cells of the lymphatic system, as well as the bone marrow and the intestinal flora: that is to say to the whole immune system of the body. In other words, by destroying the immune system of the mother and child, they jeopardize the immune genetic heritage of the nation.

The information provided by the very manufacturer of these drugs (to quote the commented Vidal drugs directory of 2003, pages 732-734) clearly shows the toxic effect of these products on pregnant women in general and on newborns in particular:

“Special precautions: AZT does not cure HIV infection. AZT treatment requires regular monitoring of hematological parameters. Patients treated with AZT may develop severe hepatomegaly (liver enlargement) with steatosis (sometimes fatal).

Pregnancy and lactation: long term side effects of the AZT therapy practiced on children during intra-uterine development and in the newborn period are unknown.

The results of studies carried out on animals **do not exclude the possibility of carcinogenic effects of AZT in humans. Pregnant women, to whom it is planned to administer an AZT treatment, should be warned of this risk.**

There is also information about other drugs prescribed to pregnant women and their babies:

1. Agenerase, also known as **Amprenavir** and under the abbreviation **APV**, produced by GlaxoSmithKline.

Side Effects: Stevens-Johnson syndrome (malignant exudative erythema), diabetes, deformations due to the redistribution of body fat mass (lipodystrophy), immune reconstruction syndrome, increased levels of lipids and enzymes, myopathy, nausea, vomiting, flatulence, diarrhea, numbness in and around the oral cavity, skin rashes.

THE SAFE USE OF AGENERASE IS NOT GUARANTEED IN CASE OF PREGNANCY.

2. Atripla, (combination of **Efavirenz, Emtricitabine** and **Tenofovir**) produced by Bristol-MyersSquibb and GileadSciences.

Side effects: death, reduced bone mass, deformations due to the redistribution of body fat mass (lipodystrophy) syndrome immune reconstruction, toxic effects on the kidneys and liver, hypersensitivity reactions, rash, neuropathy; numbness, tingling or pain in the legs, the feet or fingers; mental disorders, acidosis, increased hepatic steatosis, myopathy, mental disorders,

3. Ziagen also known as Abacavir and under the abbreviation ABC, produced by GlaxoSmithKline.

Side effects: death, cancer, lymphopenia, deformations due to the redistribution of body fat mass (lipodystrophy), heart disease, immune deficiency, immune reconstruction syndrome, renal failure with increased serum creatine, pancreatitis, delayed-type hypersensitivity (sometimes involving life-threatening), severe rash, acidosis, increased hepatic steatosis, myopathy, mental disorders, fever, malaise, fatigue, dry mouth, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, cough, shortness of breath, drop in blood pressure, swelling and joint pain, headaches, fatigue, sleep disturbances, loss of appetite, mouth sores.

AT PRESENT NO SAFETY THRESHOLD HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED FOR THE USE OF ZIAGEN DURING PREGNANCY.

4. Kaletra, also known as **Lopinavir / Ritonavir** and under the abbreviation **LPV** produced by Abbott.

Side Effects: Cushing's syndrome, cancer , pulmonary edema, ulcerative colitis, encephalopathy, gynecomastia , anemia, leukopenia , lymphadenopathy, decreased bone mass , diabetes, hypothyroidism, immune deficiency, immune reconstruction syndrome, heart disease, increased pressure pressure, tachycardia (rapid heartbeat) , thrombophlebitis , vasculitis , kidney stones, micturition disorders , renal and hepatic toxicity, high lipid levels, pancreatitis , myopathy , neuropathy, psychic disturbances memory impairment , amnesia (loss of memory) , disorders of thought processes and tremors, impaired coordination and orientation , decreased libido , depression, itching and severe skin rashes, acne, alopecia, dermatitis , abrasions , changes in the structure of nails, tumors benign skin , skin discoloration , sweating , diarrhea or constipation , fecal incontinence, insomnia , nausea, vomiting , abdominal pain, dysphagia, esophagitis, enterocolitis , gastritis, cholecystitis, edema, obesity and weight loss, vitamin deficiency , lactic acidosis, changes in vision and taste, inflammation of the ear, whispering in the ear , bronchitis, dyspnea , sinusitis, joint and muscle pain , arthritis, back pain and chest , retro - sternal pain , chills, flu syndrome, malaise.
NOTE: KALETRA DOES NOT CURE HIV INFECTION NOR AIDS.

Only some of the information has been cited here about drugs administered to pregnant women and children whose only problem is to have falsely been diagnosed “HIV-positive” in a so-called “HIV” retrovirus screening test while they were quite healthy! Further information on these drugs (and many others) is available (for those reading Russian) at the following address:

<http://www.virtu-virus.ru/nevirusnaya-gipoteza-spida/pobochnyye-deystviya-i-bolezni-vyizyivaemye-spida-preparatami.html>

All this information is included in the instructions for use of these drugs.

The administration of such toxic drugs, supposed to fight “AIDS”, is in flagrant violation of Article 20 of the Federal Law of 11.21. 2011 N 323-FZ concerning the “Basics for public health’s protection in the Russian Federation”:

“Article 20: The consent or refusal to submit to a medical intervention should be based solely on the enlightened will of the patient and must be spelled out in black and white:

1. The prerequisite for any medical intervention must be the informed consent of the patient or his legal representative, after they have been informed completely and in an accessible way by the health worker as to the objectives pursued and the medical care

methods used, the associated risks, as well as the different options for this intervention, the consequences and expected results from this type of care”.

However, “AIDS” specialists refrain from informing pregnant women about the highly toxic side effects of antiretroviral drugs and, faced with the refusal of some women to continue to take these drugs and give them to their children, they resort to death threats such as “anyway you will die and your child will not live either,” or “If you refuse to have your child treated, you will have your child’s custody withdrawn!”

My personal correspondence abounds with letters coming from pregnant women from different regions of Russia and describing the legal anarchy in which “AIDS” specialists actually operate. Healthy pregnant women, who have been tested falsely positive to “HIV”, are humiliated by the staff of hospitals and “AIDS” reference centers who call them drug addicts or prostitutes. They are constantly bullied so that a desired pregnancy turns out into a living hell. Toxic drugs are prophylactically prescribed to babies, whose mothers are prohibited from breastfeeding them under the pretext of preventing the transmission of “HIV”, though, since all the time that the scam called “HIV/AIDS” has lasted, nobody has ever been able to prove that this was possible. There is more: today, some “AIDS” specialists even come to recognize **that the proteins contained in breast milk protect children against “HIV” infection! ...**

According to a statement released by *RIA Novosti* on October 22, 2013:

“Americans scientists of the Vaccine Institute at Duke University have discovered for the first time, in human milk, substances that protect infants against “HIV” infection. As it turned out, Tenascin C (TNC), a protein contained in breast milk, would connect to harmful viruses, neutralize them, and by protecting children from the risk of infection, would be an efficient prevention against “HIV”. According to the authors of this study, published in the journal *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*, such a finding could lay the groundwork for a new prevention strategy against “HIV”.

<http://medportal.ru/mednovosti/news/2013/10/22/121tenascin/>

Despite all of this, some women continue to be prevented breastfeeding and be subject to a toxic therapy under threat of being deprived of their parental rights in case of refusal of the violence they are, as well as their children, subjected to by the medical institution. These women’s natural desire is that their child is healthy. However, the legitimate refusal, in accordance with Article 20 of the Federal Law on “Basics for public health’s protection in the Russian Federation”, expressed by these mothers confronted with toxic drug treatments

imposed on them by “AIDS” reference centers is fraught with failures. These centers are actually starting to impose their power by rallying social workers and prosecutors. Hence the charge of child abuse which is laid against these women, even though it would be rather more righteous to denounce the mistreatments that those “AIDS” centers inflict on these women and children. These “AIDS” centers having virtually organized a persecution of healthy women – mothers who give birth to desired and healthy children – that is to say, the future of our country.

But a single false so-called “HIV” positive test is sufficient to turn these women into outcasts of society.

Therefore, the question arises: what are actually those “AIDS” centers? Who works there? Are they some persecutors in white coats? Who has given them the right to control the destiny of so many people solely on the basis of such an unreliable test? Just look around: how many homeless and abandoned children we can see! Who cares for them? What kind of moral insanity therefore reigns in those “AIDS” centers? This situation is only made possible by a general intellectual deficit.

If we analyze some of the statements of Academician VV Prokovsky, spokesman number one of the “fight against AIDS” in Russia, we find further evidence of the absurdity of everything that is happening around the issue of “HIV/AIDS”.

Thus, in the article “**Mosquitoes digest the AIDS virus. How does it survive in human beings?**”, published in No. 2 of 2001 of the magazine “*Фактор*” (Factor), VV Prokovsky, responding a reporter’s questions, said: “In fact, it is a **relatively non-contagious disease**. Even in the case of sexual intercourse with an HIV-positive, there is **only one chance in a hundred**¹ of being infected.”

Just think for a moment of the impact of such statements when they come from VV Prokovsky, a man who constantly frightened the population by evoking the spread of “HIV” in our country, and who has almost privatized this disease invented from scratch, and under whose direction were established throughout the country, a mass of centers to struggle against “AIDS”, a business whose origin remains unknown but while consuming huge amounts of money.

This so-called fight against “AIDS” has resulted in false diagnoses, prescribing toxic treatments, leading to programmed psychogenic death (for those who are facing it, such a diagnosis has in fact all the aspects of a potential death sentence), and causing the destruction of families. In short, it is a real iatrogenic genocide (i.e.: caused by medicine).

Let’s carefully re-read VV Prokovsky’s sentence quoted above and let’s think about it.

¹ Although the risk mentioned by VV Prokovsky is of **one in a hundred**, the figure generally given by the international literature is **lower than one in a thousand**, and is actually supposed to have been explained by Padian et al. in their 1997 paper. But, being in both cases based on unprovable assumptions, such calculations must be considered as completely bogus...

It seems to me that, for any reasonable person, this sentence expressed by VV Pokrovsky can only be interpreted as an admission of the intellectual bankruptcy regarding the official hypothesis of a virus causing a deadly and an incurable disease. Such a sentence is enough to understand that everything that has been created around the so-called “HIV/AIDS” is only pseudo-scientific terrorism that it is time to stop. And yet they continue to introduce to us, in such a stifling way, rotten misinformation about the deadly transmissibility of a virus that does not exist. All this is being performed under the cynical pretext of concern for the public health of our nation. How can a nation stay healthy if it allows so-called scientific experts such as those dealing with “AIDS” whose main job is in flagrant contradiction with the fundamental ethical principle of medicine (“I will refrain from all evil and injustice”) and whose aims are to intimidate the population by maintaining the fear of an allegedly deadly virus and the monopolization of huge budgets?

Thus, according to the newspaper “**Русский вестник**” (**Russian Gazette**) No. 13 of 2006, during the ten years between 1996 and 2006, more than 260 million rubles were allocated to the Federal Program “AIDS Control” to support the fight against the “scourge”. And in 2007, according to the chief state sanitary doctor of Russia, and head of Rospotrebnadzor, **Gennady Onishchenko**, 7.8 billion rubles had been spent on the implementation of new measures against the “HIV/AIDS”.

Speaking during a meeting of the General Assembly of UNAIDS, the Deputy Permanent Representative of the Russian Federation to the UN, Dmitry Maksimych, reported that more than 600 million dollars from the Russian federal budget had been devoted to early detection and treatment of “HIV” infection during the year 2012, and that similar amounts of money were provided for the current year.

According to the Russian diplomat, the State would currently have the ability **to provide all “HIV” infected people with free treatment** using the most modern drugs.

Dear fellow citizens, consider these figures and realize the amounts of money that are spent on the fight against an imaginary and supposedly deadly epidemic in a country where a lot of really sick people and patients with serious diseases cannot even receive decent medical care. This country had to close hospitals and maternity homes because they lacked money to maintain their upkeep and pay the salaries of their physicians. The State has actually no money when sick children must get a proper treatment or undergo surgery. It is then reduced to ask for charity and to raise funds from a population that is very far from living in wealth.

The annual reports of the Joint United Nations Program on “HIV/AIDS” (UNAIDS and WHO) entitled “Update on the AIDS epidemic” are colorful publications including tables, charts, statistics figures concerning “AIDS” as well as various recommendations. Yet nobody seems to pay attention to a note in smaller print that says: **“UNAIDS and WHO do not warrant the accuracy of information and are not responsible for any damage that may result from the use of this information”**. Why then should some credit be given to such reports when there is this kind of warning? Why spend millions to study and control the incidence of “AIDS”? Both international organizations seem to be well aware of what they are doing and they seek to protect themselves by such statements.

In any case, “AIDS” does really exist, as a **Legal and Intellectual Deficit Syndrome**, which leads to the fact that “HIV-positive” people see their health and their life destroyed by a pseudo-scientific terrorism.

“AIDS” is by no means a fatal disease! Today, it is a deadly business!

And “AIDS” Centers are just one of the first tools of the juvenile justice system!

12.1.2013